

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/615,999	JORDAN ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	Tho D. Ta	2833

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** Allowed

(1) Tho D. Ta. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Leon D. Rosen. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 8 September 2004

**Time:** AM

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:**  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

1-19

Prior art documents discussed:

US 6,146,168 and US 6,224,407

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Attorney agreed to the changes indicate in examiner's amendment in order to define over the prior art and to make the claims unobvious over the prior art.*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

modarz

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)